Appendix 8 Format for Mandatory Disclosure Mandatory Disclosure 11-02-2010 AICTE File No. PG/UP/MBA/2005/003 Date & Period of last approval Period of Approval: 2008-10 01-07-2005 Rakshpal Bahadur Management Institute, Greater Noida Name of the Institution Address of the Institution Plot No. 4, Knowledge Park - III Greater Noida City & Pin Code Gautam Buddh Nagar Uttar Pradesh State / UT Phone number with STD code 01203233330 FAX number with STD code 01202323636 Office hours at the Institution 0900 - 0500 hrs. Academic hours at the Institution 0900 - 0500 hrs. rbmign@rbmionline.com **Email** Website www.rbmionline.com Nearest Railway Station(dist in Km) Ghaziabad Junction (15 Km) Nearest Airport (dist in Km) New Delhi (50 Km) Type of Institution Private-Self Financed Category (1) of the Institution Non Minority Co - Ed Category (2) of the Institution Name of the organization running Harrow Educational Society the Institution Type of the organization Society Address of the organization 193/11, Civil Lines, Bareilly

Registrar of Societies, U.P. Govt.

24-07-1981

www.rbmionline.com

Registered with

Registration date

Website of the organization

5 Name of the affiliating University	U.P. Technical University
Address	I.E.T. Campus, Sitapur Road, Lucknow (U.P.)
Website	www.uptu.ac.in
Latest affiliation period	2010
6 Name of Principal / Director	Dr. Vibha Singh
Exact Designation	Director
Phone number with STD code	01203233330
FAX number with STD code	01202323636
Email	Vibhasingh.s1@gmail.com
Highest Degree	Ph.D.
Field of specialization	Economics

Rakshpal Bahadur Management Institute

BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Name	Designation	Qualification	Other Credentials
Mrs. Veena Mathur	Executive Chairperson	M.A., LL.B.	Founder, Harrow Education Society FICCI Award winner - 2005
Er. Navin Prasad	Vice- Chairman	B.Tech. (Mechanical Engg.) Auston University, Birmingham, U.K.	Managing Director, RBMI
Shri Vilas Warudkar	Member (Ex-Officio) Nominee, NRC, AICTE	_	Regional Officer, NRC, AICTE, Kanpur
Lt. Gen. Shankar Prasad, PVSM	Member	_	Retired Director General Infantry, Indian Army
Prof. Aditya Prasad	Member	B.Tech., M.Tech., Ph.D.	Perdue University West Lafayette, , USA
Mr. Arvind Mathur	Member	M.Com., C.A.	Asian Development Bank, Manila, Philippines
Mr. R K Mathur	Member	МВА	Associate Director (Personnel & Quality), Xerox India Ltd.
Mr. Surjeet Sen Gupta	Member	B.Tech., M.S.	N.Tech. Solutions, Baltimore (U.S.A)
Dr. Shekhar Verma	Member	M.Tech., Ph.D.	Associate Professor, IIIT, Allahabad
Mr. Akhlesh Mathur	Member	C.A.	Group Financial Controller, GE Capital Europe Ltd., London, UK
Ms. Sandhya Sen Gupta	Member	M.A. (Economics)	BB&T Bank, Maryland, Columbia, USA
Mrs. Tejal G. Mathur	Member	C.A.	Executive Director, RBMI
Prof. Manish Sharma	Member	M.B.A., Ph.D.	Director General, RBMI, Bareilly Member - Higher Education Committee, FICCI
Mr. S.R. Laghate	Member	B.E. (Chemical Engg.)	Executive Director, Camphor & Allied Products, Bareilly
Dr. Ravendra Singh	Member	B.Tech., Ph.D.	Head, Computer Science Deptt., MJP Rohilkhand University, Bareilly
Dr. Neeraj Saxena	Member	M.B.A., Ph.D.	Director (PGDM), RBMI, Bareilly
Nominee of Technical Education	Member	_	Educationist/ Industrialist/ Technologist
Nominee of State Government	Member	-	Educationist/ Industrialist/ Technologist

Frequency of meetings & date of last meeting

Thrice a year & Date of last meeting: 18 January, 2010


Rakshpal Bahadur Management Institute

ACADEMIC ADVISORY

Name	Department / University
Prof. Aditya Prasad	Perdue University, West Lafayatte, USA
Prof. Prafulla Agnihotri	IIM, Kolkata
Prof. G.S. Gupta	IIM, Ahmedabad
Prof. D. Israel	XLRI, Jamshedpur
Prof. M.L. Maurya	director, IFF, Bundelkhand University, Jhansi
Prof. Anurag Saxena	SMS, IGNOU, New Delhi
Prof. G.C. Agarwal (Ex. Director)	MONIRBA, Allahabad
Prof. Prantik Ray	XLRI, Jamshedpur
Prof. A. Bhimani	London School of Economics, London, U.K.
Prof. Justin Paul	Nagoya University, Japan
Prof. R.A. Raghuswami	XLRI, Jamshedpur
Prof. Jagdish Prakash (Ex. V.C.)	University of Allahabad
Prof. S.K. Tiwari	GB Pant University, Pantnagar
Prof. S.B. Singh (Ex. V.C.)	Lucknow University, Lucknow
Dr. P. Mariappan	Bishop Heberr College, Tiruchirapalli
Prof. B.P. Singh	Ex. Head & Dean, DSE, Delhi University
Prof. Samim Ahmed	Vice Chancellor, Jamia Hamdard University, New Delhi
Prof. R. Vinayak	Faculty of Commerce, M.D. University, Rohtak
Dr. Shekhar Verma	Associate Professor, IIIT, Allahabad
Prof. Sahib Singh	Consultant, IIFT, New Delhi

Frequency of meetings & date of last meeting

Twice a year, 24 December, 2009



Rating Scale for Student Feedback

Format No. : A

Rakshpal Bahadur Management Institute, Greater Noida

Academic Session: 2009-10

Please rate the courses on the following attributes using the 10 point scale shown

10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	

Very Good Very Poor

Mean scores of all the students

	Courses	Courses	Courses	Courses	Courses
	Courses	1	2	3	4
1	Learning value (In terms of skills,				
	concepts, knowledge, analytical,				
	abilities or broadening perspective)				
2	Applicability/relevance to real life				
	situations				
3	Depth of the course content				
4	Extent to coverage of course				
5	Clarity and relevance of reading				
	material				
6	Extent of effort required by students				
7	Relevance/learning value of project				
	Report				
8	Overall rating				

Rating Scale for Student Feedback Format No. : B

Rakshpal Bahadur Management Institute, Gr. Noida

Academic Session: 2008-09

Please rate the courses on the following attributes using the 10 point scale shown

10 9 8 7 6 5	5 4 3 2 1
--------------	-----------

Very Good Very Poor

	Name of the Teachers :						
	Attributes						
1	Communication Skills (In terms of articulation and comprehensibility)						
2	Interest generated by the teachers						
3	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other Issues to provide a broader perspective						
4	Ability to integrate across the courses/draw upon other courses						
5	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (Includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion)						
6	Ability to design quizzes/examinations/ assignments/projects to test understanding of the course						
7	Provision of sufficient timely feedback						
8	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)						
9	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher						
10	Overall rating						

RAKSHPAL BAHADUR MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE, GR.NOIDA

Academic Session: 2009-10

Please rate the courses teachers on the following attributes using the 10 point scale shown.

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalised and recorded. The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in the future.

no

You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

yes

_			extent ti	hat it does not invalidate your response.
1.	The Syllabu			
		challenging	b.	adequate
_		inadequate	d.	dull
2.		round for benefiting from the course was		
		more than adequate	b.	adequate
3.		urse conceptually difficult to understand?		
		easy	b.	manageable
_		difficult	d.	very difficult
4.		of the syllabus was taught in class?		
		90 to 100%	b.	75 to 90%
		50 to 75%	d.	less than 50%
5.		r opinion about the library holdings for the	course?	
		excellent	b.	adequate
		inadequate	d.	very poor
6.	Were you a	ble to get the prescribed readings?		
		easily	b.	with difficulty
		not at all		
7.	How well die	d the teacher prepare for class?		
		thoroughly	b.	well
		poorly	d.	indifferently
		not at all		
8.	How well wa	as the teacher able to communicate?		
	a.	effectively	b.	invariably
	C.	passably	d.	badly
9.	Did the tead	cher encourage student participation in cla	ss?	
	a.	yes	b.	attempted
		c. no		
10.	If yes, which	n of the following methods were used?		
	a.	encouraged questions	b.	discussion in class
	C.	discussion outside class		
11.	How helpful	was the teacher in advising?		
	a.	helpful	b.	unhelpful
	C.	sometimes helpful	d.	Sometimes unhelpful
12.	Was the tea	acher		
		courteous	b.	rude
	C.	indifferent		
13.	Did the inte	rnal assessment work		
	a.	fairly	b.	regularly
	C.	helpfully		
14.	What effect	do you think the internal assessment will I	nave on	your course grade?
	a.	improve it	b.	lower it
	C.	no effect		
15.	How did the	teacher provide feedback on your perforn	nance?	
		regularly	b.	irregularly
	C.	with helpful comments	d.	without comments
16.		assignments discussed with you?		
		yes, fully	b.	yes, partly
		no		
17.		rovided with a course and lecture outline a	t the bed	ginning?
		yes	b.	no
18.	If yes, was i	2		
	-	yes	b.	no
19.	Was it follow			

Rating Scale for Student Feedback FORMAT NO. : D

RAKSHPAL BAHADUR MANAGEMENT INSTITUTE, GR. NOIDA Academic Session: 2009-10

Your responses will be seen only after your final results have been finalised and recorded. The information will be used only to improve the programme and provisions.

Υοι	ı may		t reveal your identity.				
	1.	Before un	dertaking the programme did you get a prosp	ectus gi	ving details of		
		a.	couurses available	b.	admission rules		
		C.	completion requirements	d.	fee and refund stru	ıcture	
		e.	support services and training available	f.	financial aid		
	2.	What dete	ermined your choice of the specialization?				
		a.	interest in the subject	b.	past scores in the	subject	
		C.	influence of parents/friends/teachers	d.	ease of getting adr		
		e.	ease of passing	f.	reputation	of	the
den	artmai	nt/teachers		١.	reputation	OI .	uic
иср	artifici		ease of getting a job after completion of hig	har etud	ios		
		g. h.	others, specify	nei staa	163		
	2		background to undertake the programme				
	3.	•		h	mara than adagua	40	
		a.	adequate	b.	more than adequa	ıe	
	4.		e of courses offered was				
		a.	satisfactory	b.	unsatisfactory		
		C.	not available				
	5.	In case no	o optional courses were available, would you	have like	ed to have some?		
		a.	yes	b.	no		
		C.	worth trying				
	6.	What do y	ou think should be the ratio of optional cours	es to the	total number of cou	urses?	
		a.	100%	b.	75%		
		C.	50%	d.	25%		
	7.	Was it pos	ssible to take a course in other departments?				
		a.	yes	b.	no		
	8.		you benefit from the interdisciplinary study?				
	0.	a.	yes	b.	no		
	9.		uld you have liked to do interdisciplinary work				
	<i>J</i> .	a.	yes	b.	no		
	10.		practical/studio/workshop component of you			ally with	thoony
	10.		practical/studio/workshop component or you	Course	s sequenceu integr	ally Willi	шеогу
		classes		L			
	4.4	a.	yes	b.	no	:	
	11.		e laboratories/studios/workshops adequately			lained?	
		a.	yes, fully	b.	yes, partly		
		C.	no				
	12.	Were you	provided training in library use?				
		a.	yes	b.	no		
	13.	What is yo	our opinion of the library holdings for your sub	ject?			
		a.	excellent	b.	adequate		
		C.	inadequate	d.	very poor		
	14.	Were vou	able to get the prescribed readings?		, ,		
		a.	easily	b.	with difficulty		
		C.	not at all				
	15.		provided with training in computer use?				
	10.	a.	yes	b.	no		
	16.		nal evaluation system as it exists is	υ.	110		
	10.		desirable	b.	undesirable		
	17	a.				and nonce	omont
	17.	account fo	pinin, how much of the total weightage of	a cours	e snould the interr	ıaı asses	sment
			100%	b.	75%		
		a.	50%				
		C.	JU /0	d.	25%		

25.	How	•	ners taught you during the full duration of the	progran	nme?
		(give total			
	26.		d to grade your teachers on the seven poir		
		how many	would you assign the following grades? (Gi	ve numb	per of teachers against each grade)
		a.	0	b.	A
		C.	В	d.	С
		e.	D	f.	E
		g.	F		
	27.	•	y teachers prepared their lectures (give num	hers)	
		a.	thoroughly	b.	well
		C.	poorly	d.	indifferently
		e.	not at all?	u.	mamerentry
	28.			umboro'	1
	20.	_	y teachers were able to communicate (give r		
		a.	effectively	b.	invariably
	00	C.	passably	d.	badly?
	29.	·-	y teachers encouraged students to participat		
		a.	through questions answers	b.	through discussion
		C.	through course related games	d.	through inviting suggestions?
	30.		d like your teachers to be (rank the qualities	accordi	ng to your preference, omitting the
		ones that	do not apply)		
		a.	scholarly	b.	open minded
		C.	casual	d.	fair
		e.	polite	f.	strict
		g.	painstaking	h.	friendly
		i.	lenient		•
	31.	At the end	of the programme of study you came our		
		a.	the same as before	b.	better informed and skilled
		c.	less enthusiastic for further study	d.	more enthusiastic for further
stuc	lv.	-	,		
0.0.0	32.	When you	ı meet students who have taken a similar pro	naramme	at other institutions do you feel
	02.	a.	inferior	b.	superior
		C.	equal?	υ.	daponor
	33.		ou rate the student-teacher relationship in the	a inetitut	ion as a whole?
	00.	a.	very good	b.	good
		а. С.	satisfactory	d.	unsatisfactory
		e.		u.	unsatisfactory
	34.		very poor	u doport	mant?
	34.	-	ou rate the student-teacher relationship in yo		
		a.	very good	b.	good
		C.	satisfactory	d.	unsatisfactory
	0.5	e.	very poor	_	
	35.		ou find the institution's administrative offices		
		a.	very helpful	b.	helpful
		C.	indifferent	d.	unhelpful
		e.	cumbersome		
	36.	How do yo	ou find the transport facility of the institution?		
		a.	adequate	b.	inadequate
		C.	regular	d.	unreliable
	37.	How do yo	ou rate the health-care facilities?		
		a.	good	b.	bad
		c.	good but inadequate		
	38.	Did you pa	articipate in any of the extra curricular activiti	ies of the	e department/institution?
		a.	rarely	b.	often
		c.	never	d.	sometimes
	39.		the attitude of teachers to extra curricular ac	tivities?	
		a.	co-operative	b.	encouraging
		C.	indifferent	d.	hostile
		e.	cynical	f.	enthusiastic
	40.		time at the institution been intellectually enrice		5Idolabilo
	- ∪.	a.	yes	b.	no
		а. С.	marginally	υ.	110
	41.		made any friendships which you expect will	last long	2
	→ 1.	i iave you	made any inchasinps willon you expect will	iasi iui 19	:

Rating Scale for Student Feedback

Format No. : E

Rakshpal Bahadur Management Institute, Gr. Noida

Academic Session: 2009-10

	Item	Very good	Good	Average	Poor	Very poor
1	Academic content					
2	Usefulness of teaching materials					
3	Usefulness of study-groups in furthering learning					
4	Timeliness of Practical work					
5	Giving and getting helpful feedback					
6	Fairness of evaluation					
7	Interaction with faculty					
8	Interaction with administration					
9	Library facilities					
10	Hostel facilities					
11	Recreational facilities					
12	Extracurricular activities					
13	Sports facilities					
14	Placement facilities					

Signature:
Date:

Grievance redressal mechanism for faculty, staff and students.

For Faculty & Staff

Step I - Written Complaint

Step II – Prima Facie Enquiry (most of the cases are resolved at this stage only)

Step III - Setup of Grievance redressal committee

Step IV – Suspension (if required during enquiry)

Step V – Submission of report by enquiry committee

Step VI – Discussion of report by Board of Governors.

Step VII - Implementation of decision of the Board of Governors.

For Students

Dean of student welfare is appointed.

12	Name of the Department*	Department of Business Administration						
	Course	Master of Business Adminis	stration (MBA)					
	Level	PG						
	1st Year of approval by the Council	2005						
	Year wise Sanctioned Intake	120	90	90				
	Year wise Actual Admissions	120	90	90				
	Cut off marks – General quota	As per U.P. Technical University	ersity guidelines					
	% Students passed with Distinction	15%	14%	15%				
	% Students passed with First Class	100%	100%	100%				
	Students Placed	96%	96%	95%				
	Average Pay package, Rs./Year	2,35,000/- p.a.	2,12,000/- p.a.	1,98,000/- p.a.				
	Students opted for Higher Studies	N/A	N/A	N/A				
	Accreditation Status of the course	Not Accredited						
	Doctoral Courses	No	No	No				
	Foreign Collaborations, if any	Yes	Yes	Yes				
	Professional Society Memberships	FICCI, CSI, AIMA, RMA, NI	MA, etc.					
	Professional activities	National Seminar, National	Symposium, Workshops,	Industrial Tours etc.				
	Consultancy activities	Yes	Yes					
	Grants fetched	No	No					
	Departmental Achievements	Publishing of International Jou	rnal having ISSN No. 0974-4	126 , ISO Certification				
	Distinguished Alumni	N/A	N/A					

13	Admission quota#		
	Entrance test / admission criteria	As per affiliating University "U.P. Technical University, Lucknow" guidelines	S.
	Cut off / last candidate admitted	60 % and Rank of UPTU – SEE Exam.	
	Fees in rupees	Rs. 63,975/- p.a. with an annual increase of 12% as decided by State Fee Committee	(Tuition fee).
	Number of Fee Waivers offered	01 01	
	Admission Calendar	April to July	
	PIO quota	No	
14	Infrastructural information^		
	Classroom/Tutorial Room facilities	Available Photo	
	Laboratory details	Available Photo	
	Computer Centre facilities	Available Photo	
	Library facilities	Available Photo	
	Auditorium / Seminar Halls / Amphi	Available Photo	
	Cafeteria	Available Photo	
	Indoor Sports facilities	Available Photo	
	Outdoor Sports facilities	Available Photo	
	Gymnasium facilities	Available Photo	
	Facilities for disabled	Available Photo	
	Any other facilities	Wi-Fi Campus Photo	
15	Boys Hostel	Available Photo	
	Girls Hostel	Available Photo	
	Medical & other Facilities at Hostel	Photo	

16	Academic Sessions	
	Examination system, Year / Sem	Semester
	Period of declaration of results	As per affiliating University "U.P. Tech. University, Lucknow" decision
4=		
17	Counseling / Mentoring	
	Career Counseling	Available
	Medical facilities	Available
	Student Insurance	Yes
18	Students Activity Body	
	Cultural activities	Yes
	Sports activities	Yes
	Literary activities	Yes
	Magazine / Newsletter	Yes
	Technical activities / TechFest	Yes
	Industrial Visits / Tours	Yes
	Alumni activities	Yes ⁹¹
19	Name of the Information Officer for RTI	Dr. Vibha Singh
	Designation	Director
	Phone number with STD code	01202333330
	FAX number with STD code	01202323636
	Email	Vibhasinghs1@gmail.com

CAY=Current Academic Year
*Repeat this template for each department / staff.
#Repeat this template for additional quota, if any.
^Add photographs